UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ADJUNED OF A TEG OF A MEDICA. Distrator	Case NumberCR07-70482HRL
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR07-70462HRL</u>
v. <u>JOSE TORRES-CALDERON</u> , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 19, 2007.	
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Cynthia Lie AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.	
Attorney Ben Kennedy .	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted	
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
whichever is later.	1 C C 1 C 11 C C 11 C C C C C C C C C C
	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community. / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant	
has committed an offense	ne facts found in Fart IV below) to believe that the defendant
	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	
\mathcal{L}_{max} 10 LLC C \(0.24(a)\) was of a firearm	a during the commission of a felony
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably accurating
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	unity. FILED
No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	NOV 1 9 2007
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient ev	
therefore will be ordered detained.	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to reb	ut the applicable presumption ST TO WIT: SAN JOSE
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Office States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at	
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.	
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
•	
	1 Xm
Dated: $11/9/07$	
HOWARD R. LLOYD	
United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____